KPMG Consulting – Verizon Responses regarding **New Jersey Exception Report #13**

Exception #: 13

Component: Verizon-New Jersey (Verizon-NJ) did not populate all required metrics

in the KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report.

Domain: Metrics

Date Uncovered by

KPMG:

1/11/01

Date VERIZON

Received:

1/11/01

Date VERIZON

Responded:

2/6/01; 4/02/01 (1st Revision); 5/18/01 (2nd Revision); 6/04/01(3rd Revision)

KPMG Summary

Statement

Findings from this test indicate that Verizon does not populate the KPMG CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier reports in accordance with the NJ Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines (May 2000); therefore, Verizon is not compliant with decisions made by the NJ BPU. With these reporting discrepancies CLECs cannot verify that they are being provided with the level of service required by the NJ Carrier-to-Carrier

Guidelines.

KPMG Consulting Response:

KPMG Consulting's 06/13/01 Closure Statement

KPMG Consulting received and reviewed the April 2001 KPMG Consulting

CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report from Verizon and found all

required metrics implemented and reported.

KPMG Consulting is satisfied with Verizon's response and closes Exception

#13 for testing purposes.

<u>Table 1: Metric Data Not Reported in the November or December 2000 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report.</u>

<u>Metric</u>	Metric Description	<u>Status</u>	VERIZON Response
PO-8-01	% On-Time- Manual Loop Qualifications	Closed	Verizon reported NA (No Activity) on the March 2001 KPMG CLEC Specific Carrier- to-Carrier report. After further research by KPMG, we found that Order Management did not issue any Loop Qualification transactions for the month of March; therefore, Verizon reported metric results correctly.
PO-8-02	% On-Time – Engineering Record Request	Closed	Verizon reported NA (No Activity) on the March 2001 KPMG CLEC Specific Carrier- to-Carrier report. After further research by KPMG, we found that Order Management did not issue any Loop Qualification transactions for the month of March; therefore, Verizon reported metric results correctly.
MR-1-05	Average Response Time – Trouble Report History (by TN/Circuit)-Electronic Bonding	Closed	Verizon reported that there is No Existing Functionality (NEF) enabling them to produce this measurement. Verizon has taken this issue under review with the NJ BPU.

Metric	Metric Description	<u>Status</u>	VERIZON Response
BI-2-01	Timeliness of Carrier Bill – Total	Closed	Verizon reported data in the December 2000 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier- to-Carrier Report.
BI-3-01	% Billing Adjustments – Including Charges Adjusted Due to PCD's – Total	Closed	Verizon identified errors in the calculation of several billing metrics and delayed reporting values until April 2001. KPMG Consulting reviewed the April 2001 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report and found values reported.
BI-3-02	% Billing Adjustments – Excluding Charges Adjusted Due to PCD's – Total	Closed	Verizon identified errors in the calculation of several billing metrics and delayed reporting values until the April data month. KPMG Consulting found Verizon reported data in the April 2001 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report.
BI-4-01	% Usage Accuracy – Total	Closed	Verizon reported data in the December 2000 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier- to-Carrier Report.
BI-4-02	% Corrected Usage Records Delivered on Time – Total	Closed	Verizon reported data in the December 2000 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier- to-Carrier Report.
<u>BI-5-01</u>	% Accuracy of Mechanized Bill Feed – Total	Closed	Verizon reported data in the December 2000 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier- to-Carrier Report.

<u>Metric</u>	Metric Description	<u>Status</u>	VERIZON Response
BI-6-01	% Completeness of Usage Charges – Including PCD Delayed Charges – Total	Closed	Verizon reported data in the December 2000 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier- to-Carrier Report.
<u>BI-6-02</u>	% Completeness of Usage Charges – Excluding PCD Delayed Charges – Total	Closed	Verizon reported data in the December 2000 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report.
BI-7-01	% Completeness of Fractional Recurring Charges – Including PCD Delayed Charges – Total	Closed	Verizon identified errors in the calculation of several billing metrics and delayed reporting values until April 2001. KPMG Consulting reviewed the April 2001 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report and found values reported.
BI-7-02	% Completeness of Fractional Recurring Charges – Excluding PCD Delayed Charges – Total	Closed	Verizon identified errors in the calculation of several billing metrics and delayed reporting values until April 2001. KPMG Consulting reviewed the April 2001 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report and found values reported.
BI-8-01	% Completeness of Non-Recurring Charges Including PCD Delayed Charges – Total	Closed	Verizon identified errors in the calculation of several billing metrics and delayed reporting values until April 2001. KPMG Consulting reviewed the April 2001 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report and found values reported.

<u>Metric</u>	Metric Description	<u>Status</u>	VERIZON Response
BI-8-02	% Completeness of Non-Recurring Charges Excluding PCD Delayed Charges - Total	Closed	Verizon identified errors in the calculation of several billing metrics and delayed reporting values until April 2001. KPMG Consulting reviewed the April 2001 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report and found values reported.
GE-1-01	% Directory Listing Verification Reports Furnished On-Time	Closed	Verizon reported data in the December 2000 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier- to-Carrier Report.

KPMG Consulting Response:

KPMG Consulting's 5/10/01 Response to Verizon

KPMG Consulting examined March data received on April 26th and determined that metrics for PO-8-01 and PO-8-02 were not reported as expected, based on Verizon's earlier response. KPMG Consulting requests Verizon provide updated information as to when these metrics will be

implemented and data reported..

VERIZON Response: 4/02/01 Response to Exception

See table below

<u>Table 2: Metric Data Not Reported in the November or December 2000 KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report.</u>

Metric	Metric Description	Reported	VERIZON Response
PO-8-01	% On-Time- Manual Loop Qualifications	TBD	A change control has been issued to develop an interim process for measuring the performance of this sub-metric. Pending acceptance by the New Jersey Board, reporting is expected to begin for the March data month. The LSR confirmation/reject process will be used to measure performance until a separate transaction is developed for this sub-metric.
PO-8-02	% On-Time – Engineering Record Request	TBD	A change control has been issued to develop an interim process for measuring the performance of this submetric. Pending acceptance by the New Jersey Board, reporting is expected to begin for the March data month. The LSR confirmation/reject process will be used to measure performance until a separate transaction is developed for this submetric.
MR-1-05	Average Response Time – Trouble Report History (by TN/Circuit)-Electronic Bonding	<u>NEF</u>	This metric will be included in the unworkable filing.
BI-2-01	Timeiness of Carrier Bill – Total	<u>UR</u>	This metric was reported for December.

<u>Metric</u>	Metric Description	Reported	VERIZON Response
BI-3-01	% Billing Adjustments – Including Charges Adjusted Due to PCD's – Total	UR	April 02, 2001: This metric continues under review for the February data month. Development efforts to correct errors in calculation, previously identified by Verizon, will not be completed until the April data month.
			This metric will remain under review until the February data month. Verizon has identified errors in the calculation of metric that require significant development to ensure accuracy.
BI-3-02	% Billing Adjustments – Excluding Charges Adjusted Due to PCD's – Total	<u>UR</u>	April 02, 2001: This metric continues under review for the February data month. Development efforts to correct errors in calculation, previously identified by Verizon, will not be completed until the April data month.
			This metric will remain under review until the February data month. Verizon has identified errors in the calculation of metric that require significant development to ensure accuracy.
BI-4-01	% Usage Accuracy – Total	<u>UR</u>	This metric was reported for December.
<u>BI-4-02</u>	% Corrected Usage Records Delivered on Time – Total	<u>UR</u>	This metric was reported for December.

<u>Metric</u>	Metric Description	Reported	VERIZON Response
BI-5-01	% Accuracy of Mechanized Bill Feed – Total	<u>UR</u>	This metric was reported for December.
BI-6-01	% Completeness of Usage Charges – Including PCD Delayed Charges – Total	<u>UR</u>	This metric was reported for December.
BI-6-02	% Completeness of Usage Charges – Excluding PCD Delayed Charges – Total	<u>UR</u>	This metric was reported for December.
BI-7-01	% Completeness of Fractional Recurring Charges – Including PCD Delayed Charges – Total	<u>UR</u>	April 02, 2001: This metric continues under review for the February data month. Development efforts to correct errors in calculation, previously identified by Verizon, will not be completed until the April data month. This metric will remain under review until the February data month. Verizon has identified errors in the calculation of metric that require significant development to ensure accuracy.

<u>Metric</u>	Metric Description	Reported	VERIZON Response
BI-7-02	% Completeness of Fractional Recurring Charges – Excluding PCD Delayed Charges – Total	UR	April 02, 2001: This metric continues under review for the February data month. Development efforts to correct errors in calculation, previously identified by Verizon, will not be completed until the April data month.
DI 9 01	0/ Completeness of New December	I ID	This metric will remain under review until the February data month. Verizon has identified errors in the calculation of metric that require significant development to ensure accuracy.
<u>BI-8-01</u>	% Completeness of Non-Recurring Charges Including PCD Delayed Charges — Total	<u>UR</u>	April 02, 2001: This metric continues under review for the February data month. Development efforts to correct errors in calculation, previously identified by Verizon, will not be completed until the April data month. This metric will remain under review until the
			February data month. Verizon has identified errors in the calculation of this metric that require significant development to ensure accuracy

<u>Metric</u>	Metric Description	Reported	<u>VERIZON Response</u>
BI-8-02	% Completeness of Non-Recurring Charges Excluding PCD Delayed Charges — Total	UR	April 02, 2001: This metric continues under review for the February data month. Development efforts to correct errors in calculation, previously identified by Verizon, will not be completed until the April data month.
			This metric will remain under review until the February data month. Verizon has identified errors in the calculation of metric that require significant development to ensure accuracy.
<u>GE-1-01</u>	% Directory Listing Verification Reports Furnished On-Time	<u>UD</u>	This metric was reported for December.